

undisputed facts presented. Harp v. Koury, No. 13-2470, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 87352.

Thereafter, plaintiff filed a series of motions which we deemed to include a motion for reconsideration. In her papers, she asserted that she had not received a copy of the motion of the defendant to dismiss or the supporting affidavit. Plaintiff did not address the issue of personal jurisdiction in those motions, nor did she request discovery on personal jurisdiction. On July 12, 2013, the court issued an Order directing the Clerk's Office to mail a copy of defendant's motion to dismiss and supporting affidavit to plaintiff and granting plaintiff until July 29, 2013 to file a supplemental brief in support of her motion for reconsideration.

On July 29, 2013, plaintiff filed three documents: a supplemental brief in support of injunction, a "motion to cease and desist," and a demand for jury trial. While the supplemental brief goes into great detail about the trademark claim against Ms. Koury and allegations of professional misconduct, it fails to address in any substantive way the issue of personal jurisdiction. Plaintiff states "the defendants' lack of physical presence in PA is entirely irrelevant higher risk of impersonation on sites and social sites where the Lawery [sic] koury, username or account name becomes the identity of the poster Lawyer koury, robbing the PLAINTIFF, daily." We agree

that presence in Pennsylvania is not required in order to establish personal jurisdiction. Nonetheless, as we explained in our June 21, 2013 Memorandum, the undisputed facts in the record here negate the existence of personal jurisdiction over defendant. See Harp, No. 13-2470, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 87352.

For the foregoing reasons, the various pending motions of plaintiff, including her motion for reconsideration, will be denied and the Clerk will be directed to mark this action closed.

(8) the motion of plaintiff for injunction (Doc. #16) is DENIED;

(9) the motion of plaintiff to cease and desist (Doc. #17) is DENIED; and

(10) since this action has been DISMISSED for lack of personal jurisdiction, the Clerk shall mark the action CLOSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Harvey Bartle III
J.