



judgment and fair insight. Id. Dr. Putnam finally noted that Plaintiff was scheduled to return for follow-up in 4-6 weeks. Id.

A comprehensive, rather than isolated, review of the record demonstrates that Dr. Putnam subsequently examined Plaintiff in November 2001 and February 2002. Plaintiff was diagnosed with moderate major depression in November 2001, and with anxiety disorder in February 2002. Id. at 138-139. Plaintiff continued to treat with medicine during the interim of those visits and in February 2002, Dr. Putnam noted that Plaintiff was no longer having panic attacks. Id. at 138. Moreover, her affect was “somewhat blunted. . .less dysphoric than it had been initially.” Id. He also noted that Plaintiff had no psychotic symptoms, was cognitively intact, and stable. His recommendation included continuing her medications and a return follow-up visit in 2-3 months. Id. Viewed collectively, all of Dr. Putnam’s evaluation reports and the report of the state agency psychologist, Dr. Poloni, provide substantial evidence upon which the ALJ could base his opinion. Consequently, Defendant’s motion for summary will be granted and Plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment will be denied.

An appropriate order follows.

