

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

LEONARD UNZICKER	)	MDL-875
	)	
v.	)	PA-ED Case No. 11-cv-66288
	)	
A.W. CHESTERSTON COMPANY, et al.,	)	

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 25th day of May, 2012, upon consideration of the “Motion for Sanctions” (Doc. No. 136) and “Motion for a Protective Order concerning Plaintiff’s Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice” (Doc. No. 137) filed by defendant General Electric Company, plaintiff’s “Combined Response in Opposition” (Doc. No. 143), and the replies (Doc. Nos. 149 & 150) it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The joinder motions to the Motion for Sanctions filed by Trane US, Inc. (Doc. No. 154) and Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. (Doc. No. 168), are **GRANTED**. All other notices or motions for joinder properly filed by any other parties are accepted and the proposed joinders are also **GRANTED**.
2. The Motion for Sanctions (Doc. No. 136) is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff’s unverified supplemental interrogatory answers are stricken. This is not intended, nor should it be deemed, however, to have any effect upon any other proper evidence pertaining to plaintiff’s claims against GE, including that evidence set out in plaintiff’s original verified answers to interrogatories or disclosed during his deposition; and
3. The Motion for a Protective Order (Doc. No. 137) is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff’s April 18, 2012 Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice is quashed. This is not intended, nor should it be deemed, however, to have any effect upon the parties’ agreement, commemorated by our February 17, 2012 order (01-MD-875, Doc. No. 8453), to depose outside of the discovery deadline, a turbine witness under the November 14, 2011 Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice.<sup>1</sup> This order shall have no effect upon the June 11, 2012 dispositive motion deadline set out in the April 11, 2012 scheduling order.

---

<sup>1</sup> This deposition notice listed the University of Illinois as a relevant jobsite to be discussed. Given that the Abbott Power Plant is located at the University of Illinois, testimony pertaining to this facility may be elicited at the deposition.

Our Memorandum Opinion will follow.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ David R. Strawbridge  
DAVID R. STRAWBRIDGE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE