

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**IN RE: TYLENOL (ACETAMINOPHEN)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

***THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL
CASES***

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

MDL NO. 2436

2:13-md-02436

HON. LAWRENCE F. STENDEL

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 6
(Regarding Procedure for the Resolution of Discovery Matters)

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that in order to minimize delay and cost, the following procedure is adopted for the resolution of discovery disputes in this MDL. Discovery motions shall not be filed on the docket unless the Court orders full briefing. If, a discovery dispute remains after the Parties have conferred, or attempted to confer in good-faith, consistent with Rules 37(a) and 26(c) to resolve the dispute the following procedure shall be followed:

- 1) The Moving party shall submit by E-mail to the Court at [Chambers of Judge Lawrence F Stengel@paed.uscourts.gov](mailto:Chambers_of_Judge_Lawrence_F_Stengel@paed.uscourts.gov) and [Molly Q Campbell@paed.uscourts.gov](mailto:Molly_Q_Campbell@paed.uscourts.gov) (copying opposing Liaison Counsel), a 2-3 page double-spaced letter-brief describing the discovery dispute, stating the Party's position and requesting a conference call with the Court;
- 2) Within 48 hours of receiving a copy the Moving Party's E-mail letter brief, the Responding Party shall submit by E-mail to the Court (copying opposing Liaison Counsel), a 2-3 page, double-spaced, response letter-brief.
- 3) There shall be no Reply.

4) The Parties are encouraged to minimize the number of Exhibits.

5) If the discovery issue involves a disagreement over a Proposed Case-Management Order (CMO), the Parties shall submit with their respective letters, a copy of the CMO with the area of dispute highlighted in such a way that the Court can easily discern what is, and what is not in dispute.

SO ORDERED this 3rd day of June, 2013

/s/ Lawrence F. Stengel

LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.