



imposed the sentence." Here, Mr. Garcia's petition says he was sentenced in the "Southwestern Division, Laredo Texas," which this Court interprets as the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

Although this Court lacks jurisdiction over Mr. Garcia's petition, the case may be able to be transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1631. Section 1631 allows a federal court to transfer a proceeding over which it lacks jurisdiction to "any other such court in which the action or appeal could have been brought at the time it was filed or noticed" if such transfer is "in the interest of justice."

Here, the Court has no hesitation in finding that a transfer would be in the interest of justice because it seems likely that the pro se petitioner filed his petition in this district, which has no connection to his case, through a mistake or misunderstanding.

The one potential obstacle to a transfer under 28 U.S.C. § 1631 is the possibility that Mr. Garcia's petition may be untimely. "A motion by a federal prisoner for postconviction relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is subject to a one-year time limitation that generally runs from 'the date on which the judgment of conviction becomes final.'" Clay v. U.S., 537 U.S. 522, 524 (2003). Mr. Garcia filed his petition on November 19,

2007, but, according to his petition, the date of his judgment of conviction was July 13, 2006. If Mr. Garcia's petition is untimely, then transfer to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas would not be warranted under 28 U.S.C. § 1631 because the Texas court would not be one "in which the action or appeal could have been brought at the time it was filed."

The date that a petitioner's judgment of conviction becomes final is not the only possible time from which to measure the one-year period for filing a § 2255 petition. The one-year period may also run from "the date on which the facts supporting the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence." § 2255(4). Here, the nature of the claims Mr. Garcia makes in his petition - denial of effective assistance of counsel and failure to understand the consequences of his plea - are such that the Court cannot determine from the face of the petition whether Mr. Garcia could establish that his claim is based on facts he discovered post-conviction. The Court therefore cannot determine from the face of the petition that this claim is time-barred or that the District Court for the Southern District of Texas would lack jurisdiction over the case, had it been filed there. Because that determination will require the development of additional facts, it seems both reasonable and "in the interests of justice" for that factual determination to

be made by the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas rather than this Court.

This Court will therefore order this matter transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1631.

An appropriate Order follows.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA           :     CIVIL ACTION  
  :     :  
  :     :  
  :     :  
ELISEO A. GARCIA                    :     NO. 07-cv-4856

ORDER

AND NOW, this 30th day of November, 2007, upon consideration of the pro se plaintiff's motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence, filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1) The petitioner is granted provisional leave to proceed in forma pauperis in this matter for the purpose of this Order only;

2) This civil action is to be transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1631; and

3) The Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania shall change the docket in this matter to show that this case was filed as a § 2255

petition, not as a § 2241 petition, and shall mark this matter as  
CLOSED in this Court for all purposes, including statistics.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Mary A. McLaughlin  
MARY A. McLAUGHLIN, J.