

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LOIS JEAN CONNER,	:	CONSOLIDATED UNDER
	:	MDL 875
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	Transferred from the Central
	:	District of California
v.	:	(Case No. 09-02317)
	:	
	:	
ALFA LAVAL, INC.,	:	
ET AL.,	:	E.D. PA CIVIL ACTION NO.
	:	2:09-67099-ER
Defendants.	:	

O R D E R

**AND NOW**, this 10th day of **December, 2012**, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment of Defendant Crane Co. (Doc. No. 239) is **GRANTED**.<sup>1</sup>

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**



---

**EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.**

---

<sup>1</sup> In response to Defendant Crane Co.'s renewed motion for summary judgment (seeking clarification of the application of this Court's Order dated February 1, 2012 (Doc. No. 238)), the Court has reviewed Plaintiff's evidence pertaining to Defendant Crane Co. in light of the Court's adoption of the so-called "bare metal defense" under maritime law. See Conner v. Alfa Laval, Inc., No. 09-67099, - F. Supp. 2d -, 2012 WL 288364, at \*7 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 1, 2012) (Robreno, J.). Plaintiff has presented no evidence that the asbestos-containing gaskets or packing to which Decedent was exposed were manufactured or supplied by Defendant Crane Co. With respect to gaskets and/or packing used in connection with Crane Co. valves but not manufactured or supplied by Crane Co., the Court has held that, under maritime law, Crane Co. cannot be liable. Conner, 2012 WL 288364, at \*7. Accordingly, summary judgment in favor of Defendant Crane Co. is warranted. Anderson, 477 U.S. at 248-50.