

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS : MDL DOCKET No. 875  
LIABILITY LITIGATION (No.VI) : (MARDOC)  
: :  
CERTAIN PLAINTIFFS : :  
: : CIVIL ACTION NO.  
VS. : 2:02-md-875 and all GROUP 1 cases  
: :  
CERTAIN DEFENDANTS :

**ORDER**

And now, this 4th day of March, 2013, following a conference call with counsel concerning the scheduling of the remaining Rule 30(b)(6) depositions and the dates for when responses are due to certain dispositive motions based on lack of personal jurisdiction, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

1. All Defendants who have filed dispositive motions based on lack of personal jurisdiction, but contend they were never served with a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice, shall submit to Mr. Lyding with copy to counsel no later than March 8, 2013, a single alphabetized list of all Defendants which are alleged to fall into this category. By no later than March 13, 2013, Plaintiffs shall respond by submitting to Mr. Lyding proof of a served notice on each Defendant which claims it was never served. Defendants should keep in mind that any original notice of such requests for Rule 30(b)(6) depositions by Plaintiffs shall suffice as proper notice, even if the deposition was subsequently canceled or no representative from a noticed Defendant appeared.

2. The parties shall submit to Mr. Lyding by no later than April 1, 2013, a final list containing dates for depositions of all Rule 30(b)(6) deponents that have been noticed, but, which according to Plaintiffs' most recent spreadsheet, still "need dates." If as of April 1, 2013, there is still no date listed for a particular Defendant's Rule 30(b)(6) deposition, any pending dispositive motion by that Defendant based on lack of personal jurisdiction will be deemed withdrawn as to all Group 1 cases. Also, if the deposition does not take place by May 31, 2013, any pending dispositive motion by that Defendant based on lack of personal jurisdiction will be deemed withdrawn as to all Group 1 cases.

3. The parties shall submit to Mr. Lyding by no later than March 8, 2013 a list of all Rule 30(b)(6) depositions which have taken place (along with the names of the Defendants to which they apply) and shall further send notice to Mr. Lyding each time a new Rule 30(b)(6) deposition has taken place.

4. Responses to all dispositive motions based on lack of personal jurisdiction shall continue to be due no later than 30 days after the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition is completed, unless Plaintiffs can show both that the deposition produced specific facts pertinent to a particular Defendant's motion and unforeseen hardship such as an inordinate delay in the transcription of the deposition.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Elizabeth T. Hey

---

ELIZABETH T. HEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE